REMARKS

Request for Reconsideration, Informal Matters & Claims Pending

The application stands subject to a non-final Office Action mailed on 25 June 2008. Reconsideration of the claimed invention in view of any amendments above and the discussion below is respectfully requested.

Claims 1-13 and 15-18 are currently pending.

Arguments re: Misra

Rejection Summary

Claims 1-13 and 15-18 stand rejected under 35 USC 102(e) for anticipation by U.S. Publication No. 2004/0022209 (Misra).

Discussion of Claim 1

Regarding Claim 1, Misra fails to disclose a

> ... method in a wireless communications device, the method comprising:
> pre-empting an active packet session with an event;
> suspending operation of a dormancy timer initiated upon pre-emption of the active packet session;
> re-starting the suspended dormancy timer upon completion of either a service or application associated with the event pre-empting the active packet session.

In paragraph [0018], Misra discloses an MSC that forces an MS into a dormant state by releasing network resources allocated for a packet session so that the MSC can page the MS for a voice call. In paragraph [0019], Misra discusses a situation that may occur in a dormant MS that would prevent the MSC from paging the MS.

In paragraph [0020], Misra discloses an MSC that sends a MS a message that prevents the MS from performing any action (i.e., re-establishing the packet data session) that would prevent the MSC from paging the MS. Contrary to the Examiner's assertion, Misra does not disclose "suspending operation of a dormancy timer initiate upon preemption of the active packet session" as recited in Claim 1. Suspending a packet session is not the same as suspending operation of a dormancy timer.

In paragraph [0021], Misra discloses that the MSC re-establishes the packet session if the MS rejects the incoming call associated with the page. Nowhere does Misra disclose "... re-starting the suspended dormancy timer upon completion of either a service or application associated with the event pre-empting the active packet session" as recited in Claim 1. Claim 1 is thus patentably distinguished over the Misra.

Discussion of Claim 7

Regarding Claim 7, Misra fails to disclose a

> ... method in a wireless communications device, the method comprising:
> pre-empting an active packet session with an event;
> suspending initiation of a dormancy timer that would otherwise be initiated after pre-emption of the packet session;

initiating the suspended dormancy timer upon completion of either a service or application associated with the event pre-empting the active packet session.

In paragraph [0020], Misra discloses an MSC that sends a MS a message that prevents the MS from performing any action (i.e., re-establishing the packet data session) that would prevent the MSC from paging the MS. Contrary to the Examiner's assertion, Misra does not disclose "suspending initiation of a dormancy timer that would otherwise be initiated after pre-emption of the packet session" as recited in Claim 7. Suspending a packet session is not the same as suspending initiation of a dormancy timer.

In paragraph [0021], Misra discloses that the MSC re-establishes the packet session if the MS rejects the incoming call associated with the page. Nowhere does Misra disclose "…initiating the suspended dormancy timer upon completion of either a service or application associated with the event pre-empting the active packet session" as recited in Claim 7. Claim 7 is thus patentably distinguished over the Misra.

Discussion of Claim 13

Regarding Claim 13, Misra fails to disclose a

> … method in a wireless communications device, the method comprising:
> receiving a network control message;
> suspending an active packet session of the wireless communication device in response to receiving the network control message;
> suspending a dormancy timer after receiving the network control message.

In paragraph [0020], Misra discloses an MSC that sends a MS a message that prevents the MS from performing any action (i.e., re-establishing the packet data session) that would prevent the MSC from paging the MS. Contrary to the Examiner's assertion, Misra does not disclose "suspending a dormancy timer after receiving the network control message" as recited in Claim 13. Suspending a packet session is not the same as suspending initiation of a dormancy timer. Misra does not disclose a dormancy timer. Claim 13 is thus patentably distinguished over Misra.

Prayer For Relief

In view of any amendments and the discussion above, the Claims of the present application are in condition for allowance. Kindly withdraw any rejections and objections and allow this application to issue as a United States Patent without further delay.

Respectfully submitted,

/ ROLAND K. BOWLER II /

_____

ROLAND K. BOWLER II   12 SEPT. 2008
MOTOROLA, INC.   REG. NO. 33,477
INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY DEPT. (RKB)
600 NORTH U.S. HIGHWAY 45, W4-37Q   TELEPHONE NO. (847) 523-3978
LIBERTYVILLE, ILLINOIS 60048   FACSIMILE NO.   (847) 523-2350